# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

United States of America

		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
		Alejandro Murillo	Case Number: 17-1603-01-PHX-JFM	
	l co by req	onclude that the following facts are es clear and convincing evidence the puire the detention of the defendant pe	J.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been tablished: <i>(Check one or both, as applicable.)</i> defendant is a danger to the community and ending trial in this case. the defendant is a flight risk and require the	
	det	ention of the defendant pending trial i	n this case.	
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe an offense for which a maximum prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et seq.  □ an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 92 □ an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332 maximum term of imprisonment of te □ an offense involving a minor victim The defendant has not rebutted the sequence of	2b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a n years or more is prescribed.  n prescribed in . <sup>1</sup> ne presumption established by finding 1 that no tions will reasonably assure the appearance of	
Alternative Findings				
$\boxtimes$	(1)		fendant will flee; no condition or combination of the appearance of the defendant as required.	
	(2)	No condition or combination of cothers and the community.	conditions will reasonably assure the safety of	
	(3)		defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct idate a prospective witness or juror.	

#### PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:	
<b>⊠</b>	(2)	I find by a proposed group of the evidence on to rick of flight that	
	(2) ⊠	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.	
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of .	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:		
	Defendant submitted the issue of detention.		
$\boxtimes$	In addition:		
		ndant's criminal history includes prior failures to comply with court ordered rvision.	

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

### **PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant

to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 17th day of August, 2017.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge